1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 12 13 14 TEDDY MORRIS, Case No. C09-5345RBL 15 v. ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S 16 ROB McKENNA, REQUEST FOR AN 17 EXTENSION OF TIME TO Respondent. FILE AN ANSWER 18 19 20 The underlying Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus has been referred to United States 21 Magistrate Judge J. Richard Creatura pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and 636 (b)(1)(B), 22 and Local Magistrate Judge's Rule MJR3 and MJR4. Petitioner is seeking federal habeas relief, 23 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 24 Before the court is Respondent's motion for a ten-day extension to file the answer (Dkt. # 25 11). Counsel asks for the extension so that Respondent can obtain files from federal prison. 26 Petitioner opposes the extension and asks for judgment in his favor (Dkt. # 14). ORDER - 1

The Ninth Circuit has expressly declared that a habeas corpus petitioner is not entitled to default judgment. Gordan v. Duran, 895 F.2d 610 (9th Cir. 1990). The habeas petitioner still bears the burden of showing that he or she is in custody in violation of the constitution. Absent such a showing, the petitioner is not entitled to relief. Id. See also, Bermudez v. Reid, 733 F.2d 18, 21 (2nd Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 874 (1984); Broussard v. Lippman, 643 F.2d 1131 (5th Cir.), cert. denied, 452 U.S. 920 (1981); Goodman v. Keohane, 663 F.2d 1033, 1047 n. 4 (11th Cir. 1981); Allen v. Perini, 424 F.2d 134, 138 (6th Cir.), cert. denied, 400 U.S. 906 (1970); Ruiz v. Cady, 660 F.2d 337, 341 (7th Cir. 1981).

Respondent has shown good cause for a ten-day extension of time. Significantly, the answer has now been filed, (Dkt. # 12) and is noted for consideration on September 25, 2009. The motion for a ten-day extension of time is GRANTED.

The Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this Order to petitioner and to remove Dkt. # 11 from the Court's Calendar.

Dated this 8^{th} day of September 2009.

J. Richard Creatura

United States Magistrate Judge